

Appendiks til Astrid Austvoll-Dahlgren, Vigdis Underland, Gyri Hval Straumann, Louise Forsetlund.
 Pasientvolum og kvalitet ved kirurgi for abdominale aortaaneurismer. Tidsskr Nor Legeforen 2017; 137: 529–37.

Dette appendikset er et tillegg til artikkelen og er ikke bearbeidet redaksjonelt.

Study ID	Were the groups comparable?	Were the participants representative?	Was the exposed group drawn from the same sample as the non-exposed?	Was the study prospective?	Were the outcomes measured in the same way in the two groups?	Did the study include enough people?	Were all of the participants followed-up or was any loss to follow up adjusted for?	Was the follow-up long enough?	Were all relevant confounders accounted for or adjusted for?	Were the analysis of the results blinded?	Risk of bias
Allareddy 2007 + 2010	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Anderson 2014	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Amundsen 1990	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	High
Birkmeyer 2002	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Birkmeyer 2003	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Brooke 2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Bush 2003	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Cowan 2003	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Dardik 1998	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Dimick 2002b	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear

Dimick 2002a+ 2004	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Dimick 2003	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Dimick 2008	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Dua 2014	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	High
Study ID	Were the groups comparable?	Were the participants representative?	Was the exposed group drawn from the same sample as the non-exposed?	Was the study prospective?	Were the outcomes measured in the same way in the two groups?	Did the study include enough people?	Were all of the participants followed-up or was any loss to follow up adjusted for?	Was the follow-up long enough?	Were all relevant confounders accounted for or adjusted for?	Were the analysis of the results blinded?	Risk of bias
Dueck 2004 + 2004b	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Eckstein 2007	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Finks 2011	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Glance 2007	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Gonzalez 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Goodney 2003	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Hernandez-Boussard 2012	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Hill 2008	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Holt 2007	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Partly	Unclear	Unclear

Holt 2009	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Huber 2001	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	High
Illonzo 2014	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Study ID	Were the groups comparable?	Were the participants representative?	Was the exposed group drawn from the same sample as the non-exposed?	Was the study prospective?	Were the outcomes measured in the same way in the two groups?	Did the study include enough people?	Were all of the participants followed-up or was any loss to follow up adjusted for?	Was the follow-up long enough?	Were all relevant confounders accounted for or adjusted for?	Were the analysis of the results blinded?	Risk of bias
Jibawi 2006	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	High
Kantonen 1997 + 1999	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Karthikesalingam 2014	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Khuri 1999	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Partly	Unclear	Unclear
Landon 2010	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Manheim 1998	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Massarweh 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
McPhee 2009	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
McPhee 2011	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Mell 2012	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear

Modrall 2011	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Pearce 1999	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Partly	Unclear	Unclear
Pronovost 1999	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Partly	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
Study ID	Were the groups comparable?	Were the participants representative?	Was the exposed group drawn from the same sample as the non-exposed?	Was the study prospective?	Were the outcomes measured in the same way in the two groups?	Did the study include enough people?	Were all of the participants followed-up or was any loss to follow up adjusted for?	Was the follow-up long enough?	Were all relevant confounders accounted for or adjusted for?	Were the analysis of the results blinded?	Risk of bias
Reames 2014	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Regenbogen 2012	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Rutledge 1996	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Partly	Unclear	Unclear
Tu 2001	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Urbach 2004	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear
Voegel 2011	Partly	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Low
Wen 1996	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not relevant	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear