

Appendix to Mona Stedenfeldt, Gunnvald Kvarstein, Borrik Schjødt, Aslak Johansen, Anne Gina Schie Berntsen, Astrid Brautaset, Vidar Halsteinli. Rights assessment and quality of referrals – rate of agreement between four pain management centres. Tidsskr Nor Legeforen 2019; 139. doi: 10.4045/tidsskr.18.0396.  
This appendix is a supplement to the article and has not been editorially processed.

Referral no. \_\_\_\_\_

Pain management centre   2  

## Referral and assessment ‘The way in’

(Screening 1)

**What information in the referral was of major importance in the assessment of the referral:**

Type of information	Of major importance	Some	None	Insufficiently described
Social status (family situation, friends, social functioning)				
Age				
Employment				
Duration of the symptoms				
Pain intensity				
Location of the pain				
Nature of the pain				
Findings from the clinical examination and supplementary examinations/diagnostics (medical imaging, EMG, MR neurography, lab, etc.)				
Activity level/physical impairments				
Depressive disorders/anxiety/catastrophic thinking/ other mental health disorders				
Substance use/addiction disorder				
Sleep disturbances				
Examination considered complete				
Previous treatment in a pain management clinic				
Previous treatment, not in a pain management clinic				
Comorbidity				
Stated motivation for the programme				
Other information in the patient record*				

**How would the team grade the quality of the referral?**

Not good	Good	Very good

**Assessment**

	Decision
Legal right to health care in a pain management centre	
No legal right to health care	
Further information needed**	

\* Only in the form for primary assessment

\*\* Only in the form for secondary assessment