Appendix of Sunneva Stokke, Ingeborg L. Solberg, Per Grøttum, Knut E.A. Lundin, Kristin M. Heggen, Jarle Breivik. A system for students' evaluation of medical studies lectures in Oslo. Tidsskr Nor Legeforen 2019; 139. doi: 10.4045/tidsskr.19.0027. This appendix is a supplement to the article and has not been subject to editing.

Appendix 2: Lecturers' questionnaire

In general, do you consider student evaluations of lecturers to be of	Yes	No
value?		
Has the feedback from students been useful to you?		
Has the feedback from students led to you making changes to your lectures?		
Have you received feedback that you perceived to be unpleasant or unreasonable?		
A criteria-based evaluation with relatively objective YES/NO question was used. Would you have preferred an evaluation in which the lecture is scored more subjectively on a scale from 1 to 5?		
What do you consider to be the positive elements of this scheme?		
What can be improved?		
How can we best meet the challenge of lecturers receiving negative fee	edback	κ?